



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,512	12/18/2000	Jaquelyn Annette Martino	US000363***	3118

24737 7590 02/12/2004

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS  
P.O. BOX 3001  
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2674

14

DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/739,512

Applicant(s)

MARTINO ET AL.

Examiner

Kimnhung Nguyen

Art Unit

2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

Art Unit: 2674

### DETAILED ACTION

This Application has been examined. The claims 1-19 are pending. The examination results are as following.

1. In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 8-11-03, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 4-5, 7, and 9-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kanungo (US patent 2003/0056215).

Regarding claim 1, Kanungo discloses in figures 2 and 3a, a user interface comprising at least two objects (see selecting channel having two objects, see figure 3a), each associated with a respective data set consisting of at least one datum; a controller (remote control 120, figure 2) connected to a data store and programmed to perform an operation on said respective data sets (see figure 3C), the controller (120) having a receiver; at least one transmitter operatively associated with said at least two objects (see figure 7a) such that a control signal is transmitted to said receiver corresponding to an operation to be performed on at least one of said data sets and responsive to at least the other of said data sets, said controller being programmed to perform said operation (see figure 7a).

Regarding claim 4, Kanungo discloses further comprising a console operation associated with said at least two objects, said console housing said transmitter.

Regarding claims 5, 7, Kanungo discloses wherein the console has a display (130) and at least one control switch (312, figure 3a), said control signal being responsive to data entered through said at least one control switch and an image of said display being responsive to said control switch (312).

Regarding claims 9-11, Kanungo discloses wherein said interface includes a contact elements that is configured to permit said controller to detect one of said tokens that is in contact with interface, and wherein each said tokens has a device containing a code uniquely identifying said token such that said controller can distinguish between said tokens (see object contains code routines, see figures 10a-10f, paragraph 0063).

Regarding claims 12-19, Kanungo discloses a system for controlling a delivery of data to a terminal comprising tokens (see figure 3a, each corresponding to a set of criteria pertaining

Art Unit: 2674

selective to a subset of said data; each of said tokens encoding an identifier (see object contains code routines, see paragraph 0063); transmitter operatively associated with said a data delivery said data to said terminal for display thereon; said transmitter being responsive to said identifier of at least a selected one of said tokens such that a command to filter said data is generated by said transmitter (see paragraph 0048-0049), and of said tokens corresponding to said first data set and second data set (see figure 3a), and the first and second data sets proximity with a console (figure 2) and transferring said unique identifiers to said console (see figure 2).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-3 and 6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanungo (US 2003/0056215) in view of Jimenez et al. (US patent 4,779,172 cited by Applicant)

Kanungo discloses every feature of the claimed invention, excluding wherein said at least two objects are tokens connected by a wire and two objects are beads. Jimenez et al. disclose in figure 1, an intermittent illuminated disco jewelry (10) consisting of a plurality of beads-like lamp member (14) and connected by wire (16, see column 2, lines 3-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a plurality of beads-like lamp member (14) and connected by wire as taught by Jimenez et al. into

Art Unit: 2674

the system having at least two objects are tokens of Kanungo because this would for electrical connecting each of said beads -like lamp members together (see column 2, lines 3-6).

### ***Correspondence***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **RICHARD A HJERPE** can be reached on **(703) 305-4709**.

**Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231


**Or faxed to:**

**(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).**

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen  
February 6, 2004

  
**RICHARD HJERPE**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**